



# Supply Base Report: Granule 777 Inc.

Second Surveillance Audit

[www.sbp-cert.org](http://www.sbp-cert.org)

LOCAL TRANSLATION



**The promise of good biomass**



## Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see [www.sbp-cert.org](http://www.sbp-cert.org)*

### *Document history*

*Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015*

*Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016*

*Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016*

*Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020*

*Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020*

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2020

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Overview</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Description of the Supply Base</b>
2.1	General description
2.2	Description of countries included in the Supply Base
2.3	Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier
2.4	Quantification of the Supply Base
<b>3</b>	<b>Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Supply Base Evaluation</b>
4.1	Scope
4.2	Justification
4.3	Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme
4.4	Conclusion
<b>5</b>	<b>Supply Base Evaluation process</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Stakeholder consultation</b>
6.1	Response to stakeholder comments
<b>7</b>	<b>Mitigation measures</b>
7.1	Mitigation measures
7.2	Monitoring and outcomes
<b>8</b>	<b>Detailed findings for indicators</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Review of report</b>
9.1	Peer review
9.2	Public or additional reviews
<b>10</b>	<b>Approval of report</b>
<b>Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators</b>	

# 1 Overview

**Producer name:** Granule 777 Inc.

**Producer address:** C.P. 248  
KM 346, Route 113  
Chapais, Qc  
G0W 1H0

**SBP Certificate Code:** SBP-04-42

**Geographic position:** Lat 49° 47' 27.69" N, Long 74° 42' 38.49" W

**Primary contact name:** Denis Chiasson, Responsable planification

**Primary contact phone:** 418.745.2545 x4238

**Primary contact email:** [denis.Chiasson@ca.ebarrette.com](mailto:denis.Chiasson@ca.ebarrette.com)

**Company website:** <https://www.granule777.com/>

**Date report finalised:** April 22, 2021

**Close of last CB audit:** May 19, 2021

**Name of CB:** SCS Global Services

**SBP Standard(s) used:** Standard 2 version 1.0

**Weblink to Standard(s) used:** <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

**SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment:** Not applicable

**Weblink to SBR on Company website:** <https://www.granule777.com/durabilite/>

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations					
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance	Re-assessment
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 2 Description of the Supply Base

### 2.1 General description

**Feedstock types:**  Primary  Secondary  Tertiary

**Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE):**  Yes  No

**Feedstock origin (countries):**

### 2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

<b>Country</b>	Canada
<b>Area/Region</b>	North region of the Province of Québec
<b>Exclusions</b>	None
<b>Description of the country</b>	
Granule 777's unique supplier is Barrette - Chapais Ltée, a sawmill located on the same site. 100% of the softwood logs procured annually by Barrette-Chapais Ltée is sourced from public land where the Forest Management Units are all SFI and/or FSC certified. Therefore 100% of the feedstock used by Granule 777 is SBP Compliant.	

*Note: Copy the table above for all countries included in the supply base.*

### 2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

Granule 777's unique supplier (Barrette-Chapais Ltée) is an SFI/FSC chain of custody certificate holder and all of the wood supply of Barrette-Chapais is certified against the SFI FM standard and/or the FSC FM standard.

## 2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

### Supply Base

- a. Total Supply Base area (million ha): 11.438472 ha**
- b. Tenure by type (million ha):**
- Privately owned: **0.385102 ha**
  - Public: : **11.053370 ha**
  - Community concession: 0
- c. Forest by type (million ha):**
- Boreal: **11.438472 ha**
  - Temperate: 0
  - Tropical: 0
- d. Forest by management type (million ha):**
- Plantation: 0
  - Managed natural: 0
  - Natural: **11.438472 ha**
- e. Certified forest by scheme (million ha):**
- FSC: **0.908890 ha**
  - PEFC: 0
  - SFI: **4.163750 ha**
  - Other (specify): **6.365832 ha (FSC and SFI)**

**Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced:**

Clearcutting  Thinning  Mix of the above  Other  N/A

**Explanation:**

The Ministry of Forest, Wild Life and Parks managers, working with expert analysts from the Québec Chief Forester Board, select a range of silvicultural scenarios suitable for the forests stands of the supply base area.

Examples of silvicultural treatments applied to the forest stands are as follow:

- Harvesting with regeneration and soil protection
- Harvesting with protection of small merchantable stems
- Seed tree cutting
- Partial cut
- Commercial thinning
- Site preparation
- Planting
- Precommercial thinning

**Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets?**

Yes – Majority  Yes – Minority  No  N/A

**Explanation:**

Every single cubic meter of wood harvested on the Supply Base Area is first sent to a sawmill or some other primary processor. In some exceptional circumstances (salvage harvest plan after a wild fire) wood can be chipped on the road side and chips sent to a paper mill.

**For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling?**

Yes – Majority  Yes – Minority  No  N/A

**Explanation:**

The Québec provincial forest management strategy aim at regenerating every hectare harvested in a timely manner. Be it by natural regeneration or plantation with indigenous species.

**Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation?**

Yes – Majority  Yes – Minority  No  N/A

**Explanation:**

The provincial authorities develop and implement salvage harvest plans after any major event such as wild fire or insect outbreak. This latest is not common in the northern region but wild fire are frequent but volumes of wood originating from salvage harvesting operations remain marginal.

## Feedstock

Reporting period from date: 29/03/2020

Reporting period to date:27/03/2021

**a. Total volume of Feedstock:**

- 0
- 1-200,000
- 200,000-400,000
- 400,000-600,000
- 600,000-800,000
- 800,000-1,000,000
- >1,000,000

Unit: m3 tonnes

**b. Volume of primary feedstock**

- 0
- 1-200,000
- 200,000-400,000

- 400,000-600,000
  - 600,000-800,000
  - 800,000-1,000,000
  - >1,000,000
- Unit: m3 tonnes

**c. List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.**

- Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme:
  - 0%
  - 1%-19%
  - 20%-39%
  - 40% -59%
  - 60%-79%
  - 80-99%
  - 100%
- Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme:
  - 0%
  - 1%-19%
  - 20%-39%
  - 40% -59%
  - 60%-79%
  - 80-99%
  - 100%

**d. List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name::**

Common name	Scientific name

*Note: add as many rows as needed*

**e. Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species?**

- Yes  No

Name of species:

Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species:

- f. Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):**
- g. Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):**
- h. Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%):**
- i. Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs:**
- j. Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times - Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%):**



**k. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest:**

Unit: m3 tonnes

**l. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:**

- N/A
- Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme:
  - 0%
  - 1%-19%
  - 20%-39%
  - 40% -59%
  - 60%-79%
  - 80-99%
  - 100%
- Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme:
  - 0%
  - 1%-19%
  - 20%-39%
  - 40% -59%
  - 60%-79%
  - 80-99%
  - 100%

**m. Volume of secondary feedstock:**

- 0
- 1-200,000
- 200,000-400,000
- 400,000-600,000
- 600,000-800,000
- 800,000-1,000,000
- >1,000,000

Unit: m3 tonnes

Physical form of the feedstock:

- Chips
- Sawdust
- Offcuts
- Clean chips or dust
- Treated chips or dust
- Other (specify):

**n. Volume of tertiary feedstock:**

- 0
- 1-200,000
- 200,000-400,000
- 400,000-600,000
- 600,000-800,000
- 800,000-1,000,000
- >1,000,000

Unit: m3 tonnes

Physical form of the feedstock:

- Shavings
- Sawdust (dry)
- Offcuts
- Other (specify):

Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period				
Feedstock type	SBE %	FSC %	PEFC %	SFI %
Primary	-	-	-	-
Secondary	-	28.8%	-	71.2%
Tertiary	-	15.3%	-	84.7%

Note: Sum of each row for feedstock types used has to be 100%

### 3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

SBE completed	SBE not completed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

All the feedstock of Granule 777 is procured from an SBP-approved Chain of Custody certificate holder (SFI and FSC CoC) and the 100% of the supplier's Supply Base Area is certified against an SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes (FSC and/or SFI). Therefore, we concluded that Granule 777 Inc. is exempted from a Supply Base Evaluation.

LOCAL TRANSLATION

## 4 Supply Base Evaluation

### 4.1 Scope

**Not Applicable**

### 4.2 Justification

**Not Applicable**

### 4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

**Not Applicable**

### 4.4 Conclusion

**Not Applicable**

LOCAL TRANSLATION

## 5 Supply Base Evaluation process

Not Applicable

LOCAL TRANSLATION

## 6 Stakeholder consultation

Stakeholders comments were not solicited for the implementation of this SBP certification but seeking for stakeholders' comments is part of the requirement for the FSC CoC/CW system.

### 6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

**Not applicable.**

LOCAL TRANSLATION

## 7 Mitigation measures

### 7.1 Mitigation measures

**Not applicable.**

### 7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

**Not applicable.**

LOCAL TRANSLATION

## 8 Detailed findings for indicators

Not applicable.

LOCAL TRANSLATION



## 9 Review of report

### 9.1 Peer review

The first version (2019) of this Supply Base Report was independently peer-reviewed by Nate Ryant, RPF from NMR Resource Management Ltd, a consultant to the Forest Industry in Canada. Mr. Ryant is a registered professional forester and forestry consultant with 20 years of forest certification experience and 32 years of work experience in the forest industry.

This updated version (2021) has not been submitted to an external review.

### 9.2 Public or additional reviews

*This report is publicly available and is accessible online at [www.granule777.com](http://www.granule777.com).*

LOCAL TRANSLATION

## 10 Approval of report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management			
Report Prepared by:	<i>François Grimard</i>	<i>Forestry Consultant GFG-Camint Inc</i>	<i>April 22, 2021</i>
	Name	Title	Date
The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.			
Report approved by:	<i>Denis Chiasson</i>	<i>Responsable planification, Barrette-Chapais Ltée</i>	<i>May 5, 2021</i>
	Name	Title	Date
Report approved by:	<i>Yann Sellin</i>	<i>General manager, Granule 777 Inc.</i>	<i>May 5, 2021</i>
	Name	Title	Date
Report approved by:	<i>Benoit Barrette</i>	<i>President, Granule 777 Inc.</i>	<i>May 5, 2021</i>
	Name	Title	Date

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

LOCAL TRANSLATION

	Indicator
1.1.1	The Biomass Producer's Supply Base is defined and mapped.
Finding	[Brief description of the rationale behind the outcome, for example reference to determination of low risk at RA, or SVP, the implementation of existing management systems or the implementation of mitigation measures.]
Means of Verification	[Include the Locally Adapted Verifiers]
Evidence Reviewed	[Reference to the actual evidence reviewed, e.g. specific maps or documents.]
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	[Optional comment on the indicator in the context of the SB or a brief description of mitigation measures implemented and actual/planned monitoring of their effectiveness.]

	Indicator
1.1.2	Feedstock can be traced back to the defined Supply Base.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>1.1.3</b>	The feedstock input profile is described and categorised by the mix of inputs.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>1.2.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that legality of ownership and land use can be demonstrated for the Supply Base.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>1.3.1</b>	The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that feedstock is legally harvested and supplied and is in compliance with EUTR legality requirements.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>1.4.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that payments for harvest rights and timber, including duties, relevant royalties and taxes related to timber harvesting, are complete and up to date.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>1.5.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that feedstock is supplied in compliance with the requirements of CITES.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>1.6.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that feedstock is not sourced from areas where there are violations of traditional or civil rights.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk at RA</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.1.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high conservation values are identified and mapped.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.1.2</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	



	Indicator
<b>2.1.3</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not sourced from forests converted to production plantation forest or non-forest lands after January 2008.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that feedstock is sourced from forests where there is appropriate assessment of impacts, and planning, implementation and monitoring to minimise them.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk at RA</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.2</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is sourced from forests where management maintains or improves soil quality (CPET S5b).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.3</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.4</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.5</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the process of residue removal minimises harm to ecosystems.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.6</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that negative impacts on ground water, surface water and water downstream from forest management are minimised (CPET S5b).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.7</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that air quality is not adversely affected by forest management activities.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.8</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that there is controlled and appropriate use of chemicals, and that Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is implemented wherever possible in forest management activities (CPET S5c).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.2.9</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that methods of waste disposal minimise negative impacts on forest ecosystems (CPET S5d).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.3.1</b>	Analysis shows that feedstock harvesting does not exceed the long-term production capacity of the forest, avoids significant negative impacts on forest productivity and ensures long-term economic viability. Harvest levels are justified by inventory and growth data.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.3.2</b>	Adequate training is provided for all personnel, including employees and contractors (CPET S6d).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.3.3</b>	Analysis shows that feedstock harvesting and biomass production positively contribute to the local economy, including employment.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.4.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the health, vitality and other services provided by forest ecosystems are maintained or improved (CPET S7a).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.4.2</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that natural processes, such as fires, pests and diseases are managed appropriately (CPET S7b).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.4.3</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that there is adequate protection of the forest from unauthorised activities, such as illegal logging, mining and encroachment (CPETS7c).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	



	Indicator
<b>2.5.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that legal, customary and traditional tenure and use rights of indigenous people and local communities related to the forest are identified, documented and respected (CPET S9).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.5.2</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that production of feedstock does not endanger food, water supply or subsistence means of communities, where the use of this specific feedstock or water is essential for the fulfilment of basic needs.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.6.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that appropriate mechanisms are in place for resolving grievances and disputes, including those relating to tenure and use rights, to forest management practices and to work conditions.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.7.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that Freedom of Association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining are respected.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.7.2</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not supplied using any form of compulsory labour.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.7.3</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that feedstock is not supplied using child labour.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.7.4</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not supplied using labour which is discriminated against in respect of employment and occupation.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.7.5</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is supplied using labour where the pay and employment conditions are fair and meet, or exceed, minimum requirements.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.8.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that appropriate safeguards are put in place to protect the health and safety of forest workers (CPET S12).
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.9.1</b>	Biomass is not sourced from areas that had high carbon stocks in January 2008 and no longer have those high carbon stocks.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.9.2</b>	Analysis demonstrates that feedstock harvesting does not diminish the capability of the forest to act as an effective sink or store of carbon over the long term.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	

	Indicator
<b>2.10.1</b>	Genetically modified trees are not used.
Finding	
Means of Verification	
Evidence Reviewed	
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	